# Meeting Summary State Incentive Grant (SIG) Advisory Committee

# March 28, 2006

Theresa Valdes Shirley Armstead Carter Whitson Sherry Nelson Michael Couty Michele McClain Alicia Ozenberger John Wittstruck Bill Anderson

Rick Morrisey

# **Members Absent:**

Tom DugardKim DudePatricia Van TuinenDiane McFarlandRay PriceMichael Alexander

Steve Bruce

### **Program Staff:**

Deb O'NeillCindy LueckeRandy SmithJim TopolskiMary DuganChristine OwensTeresa RobbinsJoellyn BeckerMark Stringer

**Guests:** 

AGENDA	DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS	ACTION
Introductions	Advisory Committee Meeting  -The meeting began with introductions.	
	-Everyone reviewed the minutes from the February meeting.	-Carter made a motion to approve the minutes, Rick seconded that motion. February meeting minutes
	Strategic Plan -Michael gave an update on the Strategic plan. In a	were approved.
	conference call last Friday with CSAP, there was a discussion on the indicators. The project officer stated that the focus needs to be broadened; the priority is	
	now risky drinking behaviors. Within that you can look at binge drinking and underage drinking; indicators will	
	still include alcohol related motor vehicle crashes, and will also include: alcohol related emergency room	
	admissions and alcohol related juvenile court referrals.  -Michele asked if all of the past suggestions from the	
	committee had been considered when making this decision. Michael stated that looking at those raw areas, the things that were recommended should show	
	up in those areas. If there is better data available for a particular community that we do not have, we need to	
	get that data from the communities. When you are looking at Risky drinking, you are still looking at binge	
	drinking and underage drinking; we want to reduce risky drinking behaviors in the age group 15-25.	

- -The community can choose any activity within that area of risky drinking behavior as long as they have the data to back that up. Michael stated that we will be providing some data, but they can also use any data that the communities have also.
- -A question was asked about data, CSAP stated to use the data to select to appropriate indicators. There is sufficient data available for these indicators.
- -The strategic plan will now be revised and the Advisory Committee will have the plan to review no later than April 25<sup>th</sup>.
- -The strategic plan will be reviewed by the committee, sent to the governor's office and then to CSAP by May  $15^{th}$ .

#### iGTO Update

- -Dr. Abe Wandersman, Pam White and Dr. Xiaoyan Zhang presented the interactive Getting to Outcomes program. Dr. Wandersman discussed the background of the program and how it will work with the SPF SIG communities with data collection and analysis. They presented a table that shows the crosswalk between 5 steps of the strategic prevention framework and the 10 topics in the Getting to Outcomes model. The entire GTO manual is available at no charge at <a href="http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical\_reports/TR101/">http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical\_reports/TR101/</a>. -Pam White talked about how the iGTO can help
- -Pam White talked about how the iGTO can help communities tracking their work and data.
- -Pam suggested not getting people involved on the computer system until the communities were selected and ready to use the system.
- -Xiaoyan stated that the price for second year is based on number of participants and different prices at different levels.

- -Dr. Zhang gave a presentation on the actual website screenshots of how the site works. It is an interactive website that communities can use to enter new data. This system will work on a dial up internet connection. -Dr. Wandersman added that iGTO is not all about data management, it is really about helping you do the work you need to do using continuous quality improvement and then you record it,
- -What is the process for familiarizing the communities with this system? Pam stated that the training for the iGTO system for subrecipients will be conducted while they are trained in the Strategic Prevention Framework. Dr. Wandersman added that technical assistance will be available to communities throughout the pilot project the first year.
- -What is the cost for the second year? It will vary by number of participants.
- -Michael asked Mark Stringer to check into funding issues and get back with him.

#### **SEWG**

-Jim stated that the Epi group has been working with the indicators and the data to support them. They will be meeting next month. The group is going to be working on looking at all the questions about data and priority areas and working out all the details. They will also be thinking about how the Epi staff and committee will be serving as resources for the communities that get funded on this project. The first week of May the Epi staff will be going to Washington DC for a workshop to talk about logic models and intervening variables. The needs assessment will also be finalized soon and sent out to the group soon.

#### **Evaluation**

-Mary is working with Chris on the evaluation portion of the plan. They are going to present at the DMH Spring Training Institute in May, where they will be talking about the SPF SIG model, cultural competencies and sustainability. Mary will also be attending the CSAP, SWCAPT meeting in New Mexico next week about the SPF SIG.

-Next meeting scheduled for April 25, 12:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. at the Department of Mental Health, Conference Room B, 1706 E. Elm St., Jefferson City.